

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
8

9 KENNETH HATLEN,)

3:12-cv-00534-MMD-WGC

10 Plaintiff,)

ORDER

11 vs.)

re: Plaintiff's Motion to Compel
Doc # 96

12 GREG COX, et al.,)

13 Defendants.)
14

15 Before the court is Plaintiff's "Motion to Compel." (Doc. # 109) and Plaintiff has replied (Doc.
16 # 96). Plaintiff's motion is not a typical discovery dispute but rather a generalized complaint against the
17 Office of the Attorney General of the United States and this court for allegedly not protecting his rights
18 or interests. More specifically, Plaintiff seeks this court to enter an order:

19 Compelling the Attorney General, the US. Attorney General to actually
20 (sic) 'perform' their job they've (sic) been Criminally (sic) Violating
21 themselves . . . "is" directly related to this case and to the complaints this
plaintiff has filed and provides the 'correct' entities to resolve the
misconducts that have been committed.

22 Doc. # 96 at 3; emphasis in the original.

23 The relief Plaintiff seeks, as best as the court can interpret it, is not cognizable in an action
24 brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

25 Plaintiff's motion to compel (Doc. # 96) is **DENIED**.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 DATED: October 31, 2013

28


WILLIAM G. COBB
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE